App F IX 4

Previous References 1945-46 (nil) File No 11-45 Serial Nos 181, 182

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

- the o

Part B for rules

SUBJECT

Article - in Sadhni dt 30.4.46 re the Powers of the President to correspond with Govt

12

7777

G R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc, not intended for permanent record]

Cuttings from Sadhu dated 30th April 1946
A statement by Mr B Narayanaswamy, Leader of the Congress Party in the Mysore City Municipal Council, regarding the powers of the President to correspond with the Govt and not placing before the House certain correspondence with the Govt

1) A report will be obtained
Sd/- J B M
Minister for Edn & PA 2 5 46
Sd/ J C M R
4 5 46

2) Submit with a draft to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, calling for his remarks
Sd/- [illegible]
9/5

The President, City Municipal Council, Mysore
No L 12500 dated 13 5 46
Forwards for report cuttings from Sadhu dated 30 4 46 containing a statement by Mr B Narayanaswamy re the powers of the President, to correspond with the Govt

TOP SECRET
10 MAY 1946
GEN TT

N.B —should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

WD/GPH 6 000-720, 11/45

9 05 r
h

From the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore
MS-C 284-77 / MS-46 dated 8-6-46

Furnishes a report on the article in "Sadhvi", dated 30-4-46, regarding the powers of the President, Mysore City Municipal Council to correspond with the Govt.

4) The allegations made in the "Sadhvi" dated 30-4-46, against the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, and the replies furnished by the President, on the several points are noted below —

I The President refused to place before the Municipal Council certain correspondence with the Govt, and that such autocratic and arbitrary action on the part of the President would lead to loss of confidence in the President

The President has stated that the letter

the Resolution.

905/25 7777/L

President being treated as final has already been read out and recorded by the Municipal Council on 30-3-46 and that all the allegations are wholly baseless and unwarranted

II That the President corresponds directly with the Govt, about his subordinate officers and Municipal Council Mas, without the permission of the Council though such a procedure is not adopted in other city Municipality

The President says that this view is unsound and impracticable and that he must be free to discharge his duties and responsibilities and that the Municipal Council is being consulted in all matters requiring its opinion or decision

5) The President has stated that the above article" in "Sadhu." does not deserve note and has suggested that a press note may be caused to be issued, so as to remove wrong impression in the minds of the public

6) Refer - para 1 Supra.

9052

Whether action is necessary to be taken as proposed by the President, I agree that what is for constitutional reasons of it is to be done, it would mean placing undue importance on what is published in Bengali of any steps to be treated as beneath notice.

If necessary, the Chief Press Advisor also may be consulted.

9) Please forward first page of new scheme for 21/6/46

22/6

Uo 348
26/6/46

10) Forwarded with compliments to the Chief Press Advisor for his opinion on the proposal of the President, I agree that to issue a Press Note in the matter is not advisable.

Communicated to new Secretariat

27/6/46

9052/Su

11) Apart from the baseless and unwarranted statements made by Mr Narayana this is a matter involving the powers of the President to correspond with Government on Municipal and official matters without previously consulting the Council, indirectly raising some constitutional issues in the face of continued obstruction from a party which is, in and out of time, urging for conceding the right to elect a non-official President

12) It would appear to be unnecessary to issue a Press Note in the matter at this distance of time

13) If considered necessary, the Press Advisory Committee may also be consulted at its next meeting

14) Submitted for kind perusal
Law Secretary
Chief Secretary

29/6/46

15) As this document refers a press note appears to be unnecessary
The matter is not
merely some importance

SK
29/6

Rev Secy
(MC)

SK
29/6

11/7/46

16) A draft reply to the President
by Hyderabad City Municipal Council is furnished

17) for appl - necessary

Rev Secy
10/7/46

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR EDUCATION & P.R.
Date 10.7.46
No. 323

905/L - Issues
12/7/46

To
The President, City Mlcl
Mysore
L 705 dated 13-7-46

States that the issue of a press note in the matter is unnecessary, the matter not being one of great importance

TYPING
12 JULY 1946
GEN. & REV SECTT

B

Dt. 2 3 46
No. 6723

Sadhu 30 4 46

7777

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ನಿರಂಕುಶ ವರ್ತನೆ

ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ

ಮೈಸೂರು ೩೦

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪೌರಸಭೆಯ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದ ನಾಯಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ ನರಸಿಂಹ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಇತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ —

ಕಳೆದ ಪೌರಸಭೆಯ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡಲು ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿದ ಅಂಶವು ನಿರಂಕುಶ ಪ್ರವರ್ತನೆಯಾಗುವುದಾಗಿದೆ ಪೌರಸಭೆಯ ಮುನ್ನ ಹಿಂದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದೊಡನೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ನಡೆಸಲು ಯಾವ ಕಾನೂನಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ ಹಾಗೆ ಅವರು ನಡೆಸಿದರೆ ಪೌರಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಲಕ್ಷಿಸದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದೂ ಉಚಿತವಲ್ಲ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಪೌರಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಇದ್ದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಸಹ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಅನುಮತಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದೊಡನೆ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ ಹೀಗಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ತಾವೇ ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಇಂಥ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುವುದು ಶುದ್ಧ ತಪ್ಪು ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಇತರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ವಿಷಯ ಪೌರಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಭೆಯ ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆಯೇ ಸರ್ಕಾರದೊಡನೆ ವ್ಯವಹರಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ

ಪೌರಸಭೆಯ ಆಡಳಿತವೆಲ್ಲವೂ ಪೌರಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಅಂತರ್ಗತವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಕಾನೂನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತದೆ ಸಭೆಯ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳಿಗೂ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಅಧಿಕಾರವು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ ಸಭೆಗಿಂತಲೂ ಅತೀತವಾದ ಅಧಿಕಾರವು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಸರ್ಕಾರದೊಡನೆ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಸಕಲ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳೂ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದೇ ವಿನಹ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೊಬ್ಬರಿಗೇ ಸೇರಿದ್ದಲ್ಲ

ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಬಂದರೆ ಅದು ಪೌರಸಭೆಯ ಅವಗಾಹನಕ್ಕಾಗಿಯೇ ಹೊರತು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲ ಒಲ್ಲದೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಿರಂಗವಾಗಿ ಓದಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಮುಂದಿನ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಕೇವಲ ಅನುಚಿತ ವರ್ತನೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅನುಮೋದಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಾನು ನಂಬುತ್ತೇನೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳು ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಲೆಂಬುದೇ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷೆಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ

ಈ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೂಲಂಕಷವಾಗಿ ವಿಮರ್ಶಿಸಿ ಯಾವುದನ್ನೂ ವರಿಷ್ಠವಾಚದೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುವಂತೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಇಂತಹ ವರ್ತನೆಗಳಿಂದ ಸಭೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಎದುರಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸವತ್ತು ಭರವಸೆಗಳು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣವಾಗುವುದು ಇಂತಹ ವರ್ತನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಸಹಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ತಮ್ಮ ರೀತಿ ನೀತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸಭೆಯ ಪಕ್ಕ ಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಗಳನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಿಸಲು ತೊಡಗದಿದ್ದರೆ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತವಾದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಹಿಂಜರಿಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

The paper has published a statement by Mr. B Narayana Swami, Leader of the Congress party in the Mysore city Municipal Council The statement is on the following lines —

At the last meeting of the Municipal Council, the President refused to place before the House certain correspondence with Government This is illegal, and the Government, too, should not reply to letters from the Municipal President when the Council has not been taken into Confidence by the President

In Bangalore, the Municipal Commissioner does not correspond with Government over the head of the Municipal President But in Mysore city, the President corresponds with Government independently and writes to Government about Municipal employees and even about Municipal Councillors This is entirely objectionable.

The final responsibility for all Municipal work and powers of the Municipality rests with the Municipal Council itself Even the President is not above the Council The letters from Government are meant for the perusal of the entire Council Government should enquire into the matter and give needful directions to the President Failing this, the Councillors will not hesitate to take suitable steps to safeguard the powers of the Council

(Rev Secy) A report will be sent
Dy Ed^n Dir 12/4

7777

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
7/15/06

CONFIDENTIAL

No M5 C284-77 enclosed
End 45 46

REV SECRETARY
9052
14 JUNE 1946
L S G EFANC

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

8th June 1946

NL 125-80 Date 13 5 46

The President,
City Municipal Council,
Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a cutting from Sadhri dated 30th April 1946 containing a statement by Mr B Narayanaswamy, Leader of the Congress Party in the Mysore City Municipal Council, regarding the powers of the President, to correspond with the Govt and not placing before the House certain correspondence with the Government and to request you to forward

7777

Let
Appr please
for
7/15/[illegible]

~~your remarks on the allegations contained therein~~

a detailed report in the matter regard

Thank ek

for Secretary of Treas
Treas Department

CONFIDENTIAL

No M5 C284-77 ensly

Encl

From

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
MYSORE

Date 8th June, 1946

R Ramachandra Rao Bhombore, Esq, B A
President City Municipal Council
MYSORE

To

J B Mallaradhya, Esq ,M A ,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

Subject - Article in 'Sadhvi' dated 30-4-1946 regarding the powers of the President to correspond with Government

---

With reference to your letter No L 21580 dated 13-5-1946 forwarding for a detailed report, a cutting from the paper 'Sadhvi' dated 30-4-1946 on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows -

The article refers to a proposition which Mr B Narayanaswamy, Municipal Councillor and leader of the Mysore City Municipal Congress Party wanted to move at the meeting of the Municipal Council held on 24-11-1945 regarding the disturbances which took place at Calcutta at that time The proposition was disallowed by me under Rule 32-II-B of the Municipal Act and Bye-laws as already intimated to Government, vide my letters No M5 284-77/45-46 dated 26-11-1945 and 5-1-46 (copies enclosed for ready reference) and correspondence ending with your letter No L 9762 dated 22-3-1946 intimating that Rule 5(b) of the Municipal Bye-laws does not apply to decisions given by the President under Rule 32-II-B

The proceedings of the meeting of the Council held on 24-11-45 on the subject along with the above letter of Government dated 22-3-1946 were placed before the Council at the meeting of the Council held on 30-3-1946 and confirmed The proceedings of the meeting held on 30-3-46 were read and recorded at the subsequent meeting of the Council held on 27-4-46. As such the subject was finally closed and could not be re-opened within three months except in the manner prescribed under Article 27(14) of the Municipal Act

All the same Mr Agaram Rangiah, one of the Councillors belong

ing to the Congress Party sent an interpellation bearing on the subject to be answered at the meeting of 27-4-46, asking whether the President had corresponded with Government in the matter and if so whether the approval of the Council was obtained in the matter and wanted the entire file of correspondence to be placed before the Council To this a reply was given that there was no necessity to obtain the sanction of Council to correspond with the Government and that it was not possible to place the file before the Council, in view of the fact that the matter had been finally closed as mentioned above

It was after this reply, given at the meeting held on 27-4-1946, that the article was published in the 'Sadhvi' dated 30-4-46 by Mr B Narayanaswamy In this connection, it may be pointed out that the entire correspondence ending with Revenue Secretary's letter No L 9762 dated 22-3-1946 was placed at the meeting on 30-3-46 and recorded by the Council As such there was no necessity to place the file once again before the Council on 27-4-46 as desired by Mr Agaram Rangiah in his interpellation The remarks of Mr B Narayanaswamy in the 'Sadhvi' dated 30-4-46 describing my action as "arbitrary and autocratic and that such action will lead to loss of confidence in the President on the part of the Councillors and that I should change my policy etc", are wholly baseless and unwarranted

Recently, Mr Agaram Rangiah sent in a proposition to be included in the Agenda of the Council meeting dated 25-5-46 to the effect that "the President be requested to circulate among the members, the correspondence between him and the Government" on the same subject To avoid unnecessary controversy and misunderstanding letter No 9742 dated 2-3-1946 from Government on the subject which had been already read and recorded at the Council meeting on 30-3-1946 was allowed to be perused by Messrs Agaram Rangiah, B Narayanaswamy and other Councillors at the meeting of the Council held on 25-5-1946 Thereupon Mr Agaram Rangiah withdrew the proposition, his curiosity having been satisfied. A copy of the proceedings relating to this subject

is enclosed for favour of kind perusal

As regards the remarks of Mr B Narayanaswamy in his article that the President corresponds direct with Government about his subordinate officers and Municipal Councillors, I beg to state that I am not aware of any provision in the Act which directs that the President should take the permission of the Council whenever he writes to Government about the work and conduct of his direct - subordinates, unless the matter requires the opinion or decision of the Council There has been no occasion for such an omission The reference to my writing to Government about Municipal Councillors relates, evidently, to the Municipal Councillor Mr Rangaswamiah a member of the Congress Party In his case, the Government sent a cutting from 'Mysore Patrika' paper titled 'ಮೈಸೂರು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ' to me for a report (vide reference No L 9108/W dated 8-3-46) The report called for was submitted in this office letter No PC 2272 dated 13-3-1946 This has possibly upset Mr Narayanaswamy and his friends who are evidently under the wrong impression that the unbecoming conduct of the said Municipal Councillor was wantonly reported by me to Government on my own initiative According to the writer of the Article, the President should have no correspondence of any kind with the Government without the permission of the Council This view is on the face of it impracticable and unsound The President must be free to discharge the duties and responsibilities conferred on him by Section24 of the Act and Rules, otherwise the day to day administration becomes almost impossible In these circumstances I may add that the article deserves no notice

As the article is likely to mislead the public, I request that a Press Note may be kindly caused to be issued if there be no objection

The paprs received from Government are enclosed herewith

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

PRESIDENT.

Letter No M5 C 284-77/45-46 dated 26th November 1945 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Bangalore

---

Adjournment of the Ordinary General meeting of the Municipal Council (24 1 45)

I have the honour to state that before the commencement of the proceedings of the Ordinary General meeting of the Municipal Council to-day, Mr B Narayanaswamy Municipal Councillor, moved a resolution as noted in the margin* and the same was seconded by Mr Agaram Rangiah After some discussion, I disallowed the resolution under Rule 32 of the Mysore City Municipal Rules and Bye-laws, as it was not within the scope of the Municipal Administration Mr A Krishnamurthy Rao, member of the Council then brought forward another resolution as noted in the margin* for a simple adjournment This was duly seconded by Mr Agaram Rangiah and was put to vote and carried by 16 against 6. The meeting was therefore adjourned to Tuesday the 27th November 45

* Resolved that in order to protest against the high-handed and unwarranted firing by the Police on innocent and unarmed students and the public at Calcutta resulting in deaths and casualities and as a mark of respect to the deceased, the Council do stand adjourned to Tuesday the 27th November 45 at 4-30 P M .

* I move that the business of this Council do stand adjourned to Tuesday the 27th November 45 at 4 P M

A copy of the proceedings of the meeting and also the detailed discussions that took place are herein enclosed for information

---

"COPY"

PRESIDENT

SNR

Revenue Department, Bangalore

Subject - Adjournment of the Ordinary General meeting of the City Municipal Council convened for the 24th November 1945

In continuation of my letter No M5.C 284/45-46 dated the 26th November 1945 reporting on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Councillor Mr B Narayanaswamy, subsequently sent a letter dated 10th December 1945 requesting for inclusion of the marginally* noted resolution in the Council Agenda for the Ordinary General meeting on the 20th December 1945 After considering all the aspects of the question he was given a reply to the effect that the resolution tabled by him wa not included in the agenda under Rule 32-II B of the Municipal Rule and Byelaws in as much as it related to the original proposition which was ruled out by the President, as it did not pertain to matters connected with the business of the meeting of the Municipa Administration as prescribed in proviso to section 27 of the City Municipalities Act It was also pointed out to him that Rule 5 Clause (b) Chapter II of the Municipal Rules and Byelaws quoted by him in support of the resolution tabled had no bearing on the case and it referred to a case wherein the Presiding Authority would give a decision on a point of order required to be preserved at the meeting and the resolution brought forward by him was not one of that type The member pursued the matter, and sent again a letter dated 19th December 1945 in reply to this, requesting for a reconsideration of the decision and for inclusion of the abovesaid resolution in the agenda for reasons stated in his letter a copy of which is herein enclosed for kind perusal

"Resolved that this Council under Rule 5 Clause (b) Chapter II of the Municipal Rules and Bye-laws, disputes the decision of the President given in the meeting held on 24-11-1945 disallowing the resolution for an adjournment of the Council for reasons mentioned in the said resolution moved by Mr B Narayanaswamy and seconded by Mr Agaram Rangiah holding that it was not within the scope of the Municipal Administration which decision of the President is ultra-vires and an infringement of the right of the members to move for an adjournment with reason or without reason as contemplated under the provisions of the City Municipal Rules and Byelaws framed thereunder

In the meanwhile, the question cropped up for discussion on the following day during the Ordinary meeting of the Municipal Council on 20-12-45, when the subject of confirmation of the proceedings of the last meeting was taken up for consideration After a lengthy discussion, the following resolution was moved by Mr T Mariappa and seconded by Mr Agaram Rangiah

*While confirming the proceedings of the meeting dated the 24th and 27th November 1945, the Council's opinion regarding the ruling given on the 24th November 45, is deferred "

There was a difference of opinion as to whether such a proposition could at this stage be brought forward As much time was occupied in the debate on the subject and the Council persisted in recording the above resolution as a matter of academic interest, and in order to get through the business of the meeting the resolution was put to vote, and carried, 16 members voting for, one against, and one remaining neutral The subject will therefore come up for discussion again at the next meeting to arrive at a finding on the issue involved A copy of the discussions that took place at the meeting in this connection is herein enclosed

Rule 3 Chapter II of the Municipal Rules and Byelaws requires that at the commencement of the proceedings of each General Meeting the minutes of the previous meeting shall be read and if any member objects as to the correctness or incomplete recording the Presiding Authority shall after ~~taking the sense of the meeting make such corrections as he thinks proper and the amended minutes shall~~

after taking the sense of the meeting make such corrections as he thinks proper and the amended minutes shall then be confirmed, and signed by the Presiding Authority

I am doubtful whether under this rule the member can object to the propriety of the ruling given by the President disallowing a proposition after discussion under Rule 32 of the Municipal Rules and Byelaws The legal opinion of the Government Pleader on this point is to the effect that even though they discussed the matter and pass a resolution, the effect will be a nullity and the ruling already given by the Presiding Authority remains unaltered I, request however for a clarification on this point.

On a previous occasion in October 1941, when the Council wanted to convene a special meeting for consideration of the resolution tabled in connection with the presentation of a Civic Address to Mr H Siddiah, B A ,LL B , the then President of the Congress, it was at first refused, but subsequently however the meeting was allowed and the proceedings were ruled out as the subject matter was unconnected with the Municipal Administration On this another special meeting was held and the Council passed the resolution that the ruling of the President was incorrect. It seems that the matter was referred to Government, for a decision as to whether a discussion under Rule 5(B) could be allowed to dispute the decision of the President 'under a different rule and no information is traceable as to what orders were received in this connection

There appears to be no provision to bring forward any proposition ~~to bring forward any proposition~~ or motion to dispute the decision given, disallowing a resolution under Rule 32 of the Municipal Rules and Byelaws Rule 5(b) entirely relates to a case where a decision is given on a point of order, which any member of the Council, is called at the meeting under Rule 5(a).

In these circumstances, I am of opinion that the resolution tabled by Mr B Narayanaswamy is not in order and the Council resolution as to the incorrectness of decision given by the President, will not have any effect

I request that a clear ruling on the point of the procedure to be followed in such cases may kindly be issued

---

"COPY"

PRESIDENT

SNR

Proceedings of the Ordinary General Meeting of the Municipal Council held on Saturday the 25th May 1946 at 4 P M. in the Municipal Offices -

PRESENT

1 R Ramachandra Rao Bhombore, Esq ,B A , President
2 A Krishnamurthy Rao,Esq , Vice-President
3 P Sitharamiah, Esq ,B A ,B L ,
4 A N Suryanarayana Rao,Esq ,
5 T Narasimha Iyengar,Esq, B A ,B L ,
6 B Narayanaswamy,Esq ,B A ,B L ,
7 Agaram Rangiah, Esq ,
8 K S Satyanarayana Rao, Esq ,
9 G R Rangaswamiah, Esq ,
10 M V Venkataramiah, Esq ,
11 M S Nanjappa, Esq ,
12 H Thammiah, Esq ,
13 G Janardan Naidu, Esq ,
14 M R C Basappa, Esq ,
15 E P Nanjappa, Esq ,
16 T Chandrasekharagowda, Esq ,
17 V N Dasappa, Esq ,
18 U.L Ramachandra Rao, Esq ,
19 Dr A V Ranga Rao
20 T Venkataramaniah, Esq ,
21 F C Devaraj Urs, Esq ,
22 Mrs W H Theobald
23 K Siddiah, Esq ,
24 Gulam Ahamed Khan, Esq ,

ABSENT

25 M N Jois, Esq ,B A ,
26 Srimathi Kaveri Bai
27 T Mariappa, Esq ,B A ,LL B ,
28 Chowdiah, Esq ,
29 T S Ali Khan, Esq ,
30 Mohamed Sait, Esq , (with permission)
31 Patnam Srinivasa Setty,Esq ,

1089 Resolution tabled by Mr Agaram Rangiah, Municipal Councillor -

"This Council resolves that the President be requested to circulate among its members the correspondence between him and the Government regarding the President's disallowing a resolution of Mr B Narayanaswamy, Municipal Councillor, pertaining to a ruling given by the President "

"Withdrawn"

Sd R Ramachandra Rao Bhombore,
President

"COPY"

PRESIDENT

snr

Ind 11-45-182

Confidential

L 705 & 137 UG

Dear Sir,

Subj — Article in "Sadhvi" dated 30-4-46, regarding the powers of the President to correspond with Govt.

In reply to your letter No H S-C 28 4-77/45-46, dated 8-6-46, I am directed to state that the issue of a press note, as suggested by you in the letter, at this distance of time, is unnecessary, the matter being also not one of great importance.

Yours sincerely

R Ramachandra Rao B Sombar
President, City Council Mysore

Secretary
Revenue